CENTERS AND COCENTERS OF 0-HECKE ALGEBRAS

XUHUA HE

Dedicated to David Vogan on his 60th birthday

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we give explicit descriptions of the centers and cocenters of 0-Hecke algebras associated to finite Coxeter groups.

Introduction

0.1. Iwahori-Hecke algebras H_q are deformations of the group algebras of finite Coxeter groups W (with nonzero parameters q). They play an important role in the study of representations of finite groups of Lie type.

In 1993, Geck and Pfeiffer [4] discovered some remarkable properties of the minimal length elements in their conjugacy classes in W (see Theorem 1.2). Based on these properties, they defined the "character table" for Iwahori-Hecke algebras. They also gave a basis of the cocenter of Iwahori-Hecke algebras, using minimal length elements. Later, Geck and Rouquier [6] gave a basis of the center of Iwahori-Hecke algebras. It is interesting that both centers and cocenters of Iwahori-Hecke algebras are closely related to minimal length elements in the finite Coxeter groups and their dimensions both equal the number of conjugacy classes of the finite Coxeter groups.

- **0.2.** The 0-Hecke algebra H_0 was used by Carter and Lusztig in [2] in the study of p-modular representations of finite groups of Lie type. It is a deformation of the group algebras of finite Coxeter groups (with zero parameter). In this paper, we study the center and cocenter of 0-Hecke algebras H_0 . We give a basis of the center of H_0 in Theorem 4.4 and a basis of the cocenter of H_0 in Theorem 5.5.
- **0.3.** It is interesting to compare the (co)centers of H_q and H_0 . Let W_{\min} be the set of minimal length elements in their conjugacy classes in W. There are two equivalence relations \sim and \approx , on W_{\min} (see §1.2 for the precise definition). Hence we have the partition of W_{\min} into \sim -equivalence classes and \approx -equivalence classes. The second partition is finer than the first one.

Key words and phrases. finite Coxeter groups, 0-Hecke algebras, Conjugacy classes.

The center and cocenter of H_q have basis sets indexed by the set of conjugacy classes of W, which are in natural bijection with W_{\min}/\sim . The cocenter of H_0 has a basis set indexed by W_{\min}/\approx and the center of H_0 has a basis set indexed by W_{\max}/\approx . Here W_{\max}/\approx is defined using maximal length elements instead and there is a natural bijection between W_{\max}/\approx with the set of \approx -equivalence classes of minimal length elements in their "twisted" conjugacy classes in W. In general, the number of elements in W_{\min}/\approx .

0.4. The paper is organized as follows. In section 1, we recall some properties of the minimal length and maximal length elements. In section 2, we recall the results on the center and cocenter of H_q . We give parameterizations of W_{\min}/\approx and W_{\max}/\approx in section 3. In section 4, we give a basis of the center of H_0 and in section 5, we give a basis of the cocenter of H_0 . In section 6, we describe the image of a standard element t_w in the cocenter of H_0 and discuss some applications to the class polynomials of H_q .

1. Finite Coxeter groups

1.1. Let S be a finite set. A Coxeter matrix $(m_{s,s'})_{s,s'\in S}$ is a matrix with entries in $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that $m_{ss} = 1$ and $m_{s,s'} = m_{s',s} \geq 2$ for all $s \neq s'$ in S. The Coxeter group W associated to the Coxeter matrix $(m_{s,s'})$ is the group generated by S with relations $(ss')^{m_{s,s'}} = 1$ for $s,s' \in S$ with $m_{s,s'} < \infty$. The Coxeter group W is equipped with the length function $\ell: W \to \mathbb{N}$ and the Bruhat order \leq .

For any $J \subseteq S$, let W_J be the subgroup of W generated by elements in J. Then W_J is also a Coxeter group.

1.2. Let δ be an automorphism of W with $\delta(S) = S$. We say that the elements $w, w' \in W$ are δ -conjugate if there exists $x \in W$ such that $w' = xw\delta(x)^{-1}$. Let $cl(W)_{\delta}$ be the set of δ -conjugacy classes of W. We say that a δ -conjugacy class $\mathfrak O$ is *elliptic* if $\mathfrak O \cap W_J = \emptyset$ for any $J = \delta(J) \subsetneq S$.

For any $w \in W$, let $\operatorname{supp}(w)$ be the set of simple reflections that appear in some (or equivalently, any) reduced expression of w. Set $\operatorname{supp}_{\delta}(w) = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \delta^{i}(\operatorname{supp}(w))$. Then $0 \in cl(W)_{\delta}$ is elliptic if and only if $\operatorname{supp}_{\delta}(w) = S$ for any $w \in 0$.

For $w, w' \in W$ and $s \in S$, we write $w \xrightarrow{s}_{\delta} w'$ if $w' = sw\delta(s)$ and $\ell(w') \leq \ell(w)$. We write $w \to_{\delta} w'$ if there exists a sequence $w = w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n = w'$ of elements in W such that for any $k, w_{k-1} \xrightarrow{s}_{\delta} w_k$ for some $s \in S$. We write $w \approx_{\delta} w'$ if $w \to_{\delta} w'$ and $w' \to_{\delta} w$.

We say that the two elements $w, w' \in W$ are elementarily strongly δ -conjugate if $\ell(w) = \ell(w')$ and there exists $x \in W$ such that $w' = xw\delta(x)^{-1}$, and $\ell(xw) = \ell(x) + \ell(w)$ or $\ell(w\delta(x)^{-1}) = \ell(x) + \ell(w)$. We say that w, w' are strongly δ -conjugate if there exists a sequence $w = \ell(x) + \ell(w)$

 $w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n = w'$ such that for each i, w_{i-1} is elementarily strongly δ -conjugate to w_i . We write $w \sim_{\delta} w'$ if w and w' are strongly δ -conjugate. It is easy to see that

Lemma 1.1. If $w, w' \in W$ with $w \approx_{\delta} w'$, then $w \sim_{\delta} w'$.

Note that \sim_{δ} and \approx_{δ} are both equivalence relations. For any $\mathcal{O} \in cl(W)$, let \mathcal{O}_{\min} be the set of minimal length elements in \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{O}_{\max} be the set of maximal length elements in \mathcal{O} . Since \sim_{δ} and \approx_{δ} are compatible with the length function, both \mathcal{O}_{\min} and \mathcal{O}_{\max} are unions of \sim_{δ} -equivalence classes and unions of \approx_{δ} -equivalence classes.

Let $W_{\delta,\min} = \sqcup_{0 \in cl(W)_{\delta}} O_{\min}$ and $W_{\delta,\max} = \sqcup_{0 \in cl(W)_{\delta}} O_{\max}$. Let $W_{\delta,\min} / \sim_{\delta}$ be the set of \sim_{δ} -equivalence classes in W_{\min} . We define $W_{\delta,\min} / \approx_{\delta}$, $W_{\delta,\max} / \sim_{\delta}$ and $W_{\delta,\max} / \approx_{\delta}$ in a similar way.

If δ is the identity map, then we may omit δ in the subscript.

The following result is proved in [4, Theorem 1.1], [3, Theorem 2.6] and [7, Theorem 7.5] (see also [9] for a case-free proof).

Theorem 1.2. Let W be a finite Coxeter group and \mathfrak{O} be a δ -conjugacy class of W. Then

- (1) For any $w \in \mathcal{O}$, there exists $w' \in \mathcal{O}_{\min}$ such that $w \to_{\delta} w'$.
- (2) O_{\min} is a single strongly δ -conjugate class.
- (3) If O is elliptic, then O_{\min} is a single \approx_{δ} -equivalence class.

As a consequence of Theorem 1.2, it is proved in [7, Corollary 4.5] that the set of minimal length elements in \mathcal{O} coincides with the set of minimal elements in \mathcal{O} with respect to the Bruhat order \leq .

Corollary 1.3. Let W be a finite Coxeter group and \mathfrak{O} be a δ -conjugacy class of W. Then $\mathfrak{O}_{\min} = \{ w \in \mathfrak{O}; w' \not< w \text{ for any } w' \in \mathfrak{O} \}.$

1.3. One may transfer the results on minimal length elements to results on maximal length elements via the trick in [3, §2.9]. Let w_0 be the longest element in W and $\delta' = \operatorname{Ad}(w_0) \circ \delta$ be the automorphism on W. Then the map

$$W \to W, w \mapsto ww_0$$

reverses the Bruhat order and sends a δ -conjugacy class $\mathfrak O$ to a δ' -conjugacy class $\mathfrak O'$. Moreover, $w_1 \to_{\delta} w_2$ if and only if $w_2 w_0 \to_{\delta'} w_1 w_0$. Thus

Theorem 1.4. Let W be a finite Coxeter group and $\mathfrak O$ be a δ -conjugacy class of W. Then

- (1) For any $w \in \mathcal{O}$, there exists $w' \in \mathcal{O}_{max}$ such that $w' \to_{\delta} w$.
- (2) $O_{\text{max}} = \{ w \in \mathcal{O}; w \not< w' \text{ for any } w' \in \mathcal{O} \}.$

2. Finite Hecke algebras

In the rest of this paper, we assume that W is a finite Coxeter group.

- **2.1.** Let \mathbf{q} be an indeterminate and $\Lambda = \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{q}]$. The generic Hecke algebra (with equal parameters) \mathbb{H} of W is the Λ -algebra generated by $\{T_w; w \in W\}$ subject to the relations:
 - (1) $T_w \cdot T_{w'} = T_{ww'}$, if $\ell(ww') = \ell(w) + \ell(w')$.
 - (2) $(T_s + 1)(T_s \mathbf{q}) = 0$ for $s \in S$.

Given $q \in \mathbb{C}$, let \mathbb{C}_q be the Λ -module where \mathbf{q} acts by q. Let $H_q = \mathbb{H} \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{C}_q$ be a specialization of \mathbb{H} .

In particular, $H_1 = \mathbb{C}[W]$ is the group algebra. The algebra H_0 is called the 0-Hecke algebra. We will discuss it in details in the next section.

For any $w \in W$, we denote by $T_{w,q} = T_w \otimes 1 \in H_q$. We simply write t_w for $T_{w,0}$.

2.2. Let $[\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{H}]_{\delta}$ be the δ -commutator of \mathbb{H} , that is, the Λ -submodule of \mathbb{H} spanned by $[h, h'] = hh' - h'\delta(h)$ for $h, h' \in \mathbb{H}$. Let $\overline{\mathbb{H}}_{\delta} = \mathbb{H}/[\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{H}]_{\delta}$ be the δ -cocenter of \mathbb{H} .

For any $q \in \mathbb{C}$, we define the δ -cocenter $\overline{H_{q,\delta}}$ in the same way. Notice that if $q \neq 0$, then $T_{w,q}$ is invertible in H_q for any $w \in W$. However, if q = 0, then t_w is invertible in H_q if and only if w = 1. This makes a difference in the study of the cocenter of H_q (for $q \neq 0$) and the cocenter of H_0 .

Proposition 2.1. Let $w, w' \in W$. If $w \approx_{\delta} w'$, then the image of T_w and $T_{w'}$ in $\overline{\mathbb{H}}_{\delta}$ are the same.

Proof. It suffices to prove the case where $w \xrightarrow{s}_{\delta} w'$ and $\ell(w) = \ell(w')$. Without loss of generality, we may assume furthermore that sw < w. Then $T_w = T_s T_{sw}$ and $T_{w'} = T_{sw} T_{\delta(s)}$. Hence the image of T_w and $T_{w'}$ are the same.

For $q \neq 0$, a similar argument shows that if $w \sim_{\delta} w'$, then the image of $T_{w,q}$ and $T_{w',q}$ in $\overline{H_{q,\delta}}$ are the same. By Theorem 1.2 (2), for any δ -conjugacy class 0 of W, O_{\min} is a single strongly δ -conjugacy class. Thus

Proposition 2.2. $([4, \S 1] \text{ and } [3, 7.2])$

If $q \neq 0$, then for any $\mathfrak{O} \in cl(W)_{\delta}$ and $w, w' \in \mathfrak{O}_{\min}$, the image of $T_{w,q}$ and $T_{w',q}$ in $\overline{H_{q,\delta}}$ are the same.

Remark. We denote this image by $T_{\mathcal{O},q}$.

Theorem 2.3. ([4, §1] and [3, Theorem 7.4 (1)]) If $q \neq 0$, then $\{T_{0,q}\}_{0 \in cl(W)_{\delta}}$ form a basis of $\overline{H_{q,\delta}}$.

Proposition 2.4. ($[4, \S 1.2]$ and [3, Theorem 7.4 (2)])

If $q \neq 0$, then there exists a unique polynomial $f_{w,0} \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ for any $w \in W$ and $0 \in cl(W)_{\delta}$ such that the image of T_w in $\overline{H}_{q,\delta}$ equals $\sum_{0 \in cl(W)_{\delta}} f_{w,0} T_{0,q}$.

Remark. The polynomials $f_{w,0}$ are called the class polynomials. They play an important role in the study of characters of Hecke algebras.

Theorem 2.5. ([6, Theorem 5.2])

Let $q \neq 0$. Let

$$Z(H_q)_{\delta} = \{ h \in H_q; h'h = h\delta(h') \text{ for any } h' \in H_q \}$$

be the δ -center of H_q . For any $\mathfrak{O} \in cl(W)_{\delta}$, set

$$z_{0} = \sum_{w \in W} q^{-\ell(w)} f_{w,0} T_{w^{-1}}.$$

Then $\{z_0\}_{0 \in cl(W)_{\delta}}$ form a basis of $Z(H_q)_{\delta}$.

As a consequence of the results above, we have

Corollary 2.6. If $q \neq 0$, then

$$\dim Z(H_q)_{\delta} = \dim \overline{H_{q,\delta}} = \sharp cl(W)_{\delta}.$$

- **3.** Parameterizations of $W_{\delta,\min}/\approx_{\delta}$ and $W_{\delta,\max}/\approx_{\delta}$
- **3.1.** Notice that for $q \neq 0$, both $\overline{H_{q,\delta}}$ and $Z(H_q)_{\delta}$ have basis sets indexed by $cl(W)_{\delta}$, which is in natural bijection with $W_{\delta,\min}/\sim_{\delta}$. As we will see later in this paper, for $\overline{H_{0,\delta}}$ and $Z(H_0)_{\delta}$, we need to use $W_{\delta,\min}/\approx_{\delta}$ and $W_{\delta,\max}/\approx_{\delta}$ instead. We give parameterizations of these sets here.
- **3.2.** Let $\Gamma_{\delta} = \{(J, C); J = \delta(J) \subseteq S, C \in cl(W_J)_{\delta} \text{ is elliptic}\}.$ There is a natural map

$$f: \Gamma_{\delta} \to cl(W)_{\delta}, \qquad (J, C) \mapsto \mathfrak{O},$$

where \mathcal{O} is the unique δ -conjugacy class of W that contains C.

We say that (J, C) is equivalent to (J', C') if there exists $x \in W^{\delta}$ and the conjugation by x sends J to J' and sends C to C'. By [1, Proposition 5.2.1], f induces a bijection from the equivalence classes of Γ_{δ} to $cl(W)_{\delta}$.

Proposition 3.1. Let $0 \in cl(W)_{\delta}$. Then

$$\mathfrak{O}_{\min} = \sqcup_{(J,C) \in \Gamma_{\delta} \text{ with } f(J,C) = 0} C_{\min}.$$

Proof. If $(J, C) \in \Gamma_{\delta}$ with f(J, C) = 0, we have $C_{\min} \subseteq O_{\min}$ by [7, Lemma 7.3].

Let $w \in \mathcal{O}_{\min}$. Let $J = \operatorname{supp}_{\delta}(w)$ and $C \in cl(W_J)_{\delta}$ with $w \in C$. By [7, Theorem 7.5 (P1)], C is an elliptic δ -conjugacy class of W_J . Since $w \in \mathcal{O}_{\min}$ and $w \in C$, $w \in C_{\min}$.

Corollary 3.2. The map

$$f: \Gamma_{\delta} \to W_{\delta,\min}/\approx_{\delta}, \qquad (J,C) \mapsto C_{\min}$$

is a bijection.

Proof. Let $(J,C) \in \Gamma_{\delta}$ and $w \in C_{\min}$. If $w \xrightarrow{s}_{\delta} w'$, then w' = w or sw < w or $w\delta(s) < w$. In the latter two cases, $s \in J$. Therefore $w' \in C$. Since $w \in C_{\min}$ and $\ell(w') \leq \ell(w)$, $w' \in C_{\min}$.

By definition of \approx_{δ} , $v \in C_{\min}$ for any $v \in W$ with $w \approx_{\delta} v$. On the other hand, by Theorem 1.2, C_{\min} is a single \approx_{δ} -equivalence class. Hence the map $(J, C) \mapsto C_{\min} \in W_{\delta, \min} / \approx_{\delta}$ is well-defined.

It is obvious that this map is injective. The surjectivity follows from Proposition 3.1.

Using the argument in §1.3, we also obtain

Corollary 3.3. Set $\delta' = \operatorname{Ad}(w_0) \circ \delta$. The map

$$\Gamma_{\delta'} \to W_{\delta,\text{max}}/\approx_{\delta}, \qquad (J,C) \mapsto C_{\text{min}} w_0$$

is a bijection.

Example 3.4. Let $W = S_3$. Then $\sharp cl(W) = 3$, $\sharp \Gamma = 4$ and $\sharp \Gamma_{\mathrm{Ad}(w_0)} = 3$. Therefore $\sharp (W_{\min}/\approx) \neq \sharp cl(W)$ and $\sharp (W_{\min}/\approx) \neq \sharp (W_{\max}/\approx)$ for $W = S_3$.

4. Centers of 0-Hecke algebras

4.1. Let $\Sigma \in W_{\delta,\max}/\approx_{\delta}$. Set

$$W_{\leq \Sigma} = \{ x \in W; x \leq w \text{ for some } w \in \Sigma \},$$

$$t_{\leqslant \Sigma} = \sum_{x \in W_{\le \Sigma}} t_x.$$

Now we recall the following known result on the Bruhat order (see, for example, [12, Lemma 2.3]).

Lemma 4.1. Let $x, y \in W$ with $x \leq y$. Let $s \in S$. Then

- (1) $\min\{x, sx\} \leqslant \min\{y, sy\}$ and $\max\{x, sx\} \leqslant \max\{y, sy\}$.
- (2) $\min\{x, xs\} \leqslant \min\{y, ys\}$ and $\max\{x, xs\} \leqslant \max\{y, ys\}$.

Lemma 4.2. Let $\Sigma \in W_{\delta,\max}/\approx_{\delta}$ and $s \in S$. Then $\{x \in W; x \notin W_{\leq \Sigma}, sx \in W_{\leq \Sigma}\} = \{x \in W; x \notin W_{\leq \Sigma}, x\delta(s) \in W_{\leq \Sigma}\}.$

Proof. Let $x \in W$ with $x \notin W_{\leqslant \Sigma}, sx \in W_{\leqslant \Sigma}$. By definition, $sx \leqslant w$ for some $w \in \Sigma$. Since $x \nleq w$, we have sx < x and sw > w by Lemma 4.1. Thus $\ell(sw\delta(s)) \geqslant \ell(sw) - 1 = \ell(w)$. Since $w \in W_{\delta,\max}$, $\ell(sw\delta(s)) = \ell(w)$ and $sws \in \Sigma$. Moreover, $sw\delta(s) < sw$.

Since $sx \leq w$ and w < sw, $x \leq sw$. By Lemma 4.1, $\min\{x, x\delta(s)\} \leq sw\delta(s)$. Since $x \notin W_{\leq \Sigma}$, $x\delta(s) \in W_{\leq \Sigma}$.

Lemma 4.3. Let $\Sigma \in W_{\delta,\max}/\approx_{\delta}$. Then $t_{\leq \Sigma} \in Z(H_0)_{\delta}$.

Proof. Let $s \in S$. Then

$$t_s t_{\leqslant \Sigma} = \sum_{x \in W_{\leqslant \Sigma}} t_s t_x = \sum_{x, sx \in W_{\leqslant \Sigma}} t_s t_x + \sum_{y \in W_{\leqslant \Sigma}, sy \notin W_{\leqslant \Sigma}} t_s t_x.$$

If $x, sx \in W_{\leq \Sigma}$, then $t_s t_x + t_s t_{sx} = 0$. If $y \in W_{\leq \Sigma}$, $sy \notin W_{\leq \Sigma}$, then y < sy and $t_s t_y = t_{sy}$. Therefore

$$t_s t_{\leqslant \Sigma} = \sum_{x \in W; x \notin W_{\leqslant \Sigma}, sx \in W_{\leqslant \Sigma}} t_x.$$

Similarly,

$$t_{\leqslant \Sigma} t_{\delta(s)} = \sum_{x \in W; x \notin W_{\leqslant \Sigma}, x \delta(s) \in W_{\leqslant \Sigma}} t_x.$$

By Lemma 4.2, $t_s t_{\leq \Sigma} = t_{\leq \Sigma} t_{\delta(s)}$ for any $s \in S$. Thus $t_{\leq \Sigma} \in Z(H_0)_{\delta}$.

Theorem 4.4. The elements $\{t_{\leq \Sigma}\}_{\Sigma \in W_{\delta,\max}/\approx_{\delta}}$ form a basis of $Z(H_0)_{\delta}$.

Proof. For any $h = \sum_{w \in W} a_w t_w \in H_0$, we write $\operatorname{supp}(h) = \{w \in W; a_w \neq 0\}$. Let $\operatorname{supp}(h)_{\max}$ be the set of maximal length elements in $\operatorname{supp}(h)$. We show that

(a) If $h \in Z(H_0)_{\delta}$ and $w \in \text{supp}(h)_{\text{max}}$, then $sw\delta(s) \in \text{supp}(h)_{\text{max}}$ and $a_{sw\delta(s)} = a_w$ for any $s \in S$ with sw > w or ws > w.

Without loss of generality, we assume that sw > w. Then $sw \in \text{supp}(t_sh) = \text{supp}(ht_{\delta(s)})$ and

$$\operatorname{supp}(t_s h)_{\max} = \{sx; x \in \operatorname{supp}(h)_{\max}, sx > x\},$$

$$\operatorname{supp}(ht_{\delta(s)})_{\max} = \{y\delta(s); y \in \operatorname{supp}(h)_{\max}, y\delta(s) > y\}.$$

Therefore $sw\delta(s) \in \text{supp}(h)_{\text{max}}$ and $\ell(sw\delta(s)) = \ell(w)$. The coefficient of t_{sw} in t_sh is a_w and the coefficient of $t_{sw} = t_{(sw\delta(s))\delta(s)}$ in $ht_{\delta(s)}$ is $a_{sw\delta(s)}$. Thus $a_w = a_{sw\delta(s)}$.

(a) is proved.

Now we show that

(b) If $h \in Z(H_0)_{\delta}$, then $\operatorname{supp}(h)_{\max} \subseteq W_{\delta,\max}$.

If $w \notin W_{\delta,\max}$, then by Theorem 1.4, there exists w' with $\ell(w') = \ell(w) + 2$ and $s \in S$ with $w' \to_{\delta} sw'\delta(s) \approx_{\delta} w$. By (a), $sw'\delta(s) \in \text{supp}(h)_{\max}$ since $sw'\delta(s) \approx_{\delta} w$. Since sw' < w', by (a) again, $w' \in \text{supp}(h)_{\max}$. That is a contradiction.

(b) is proved.

Now suppose that $\bigoplus_{\Sigma \in W_{\delta,\max}/\approx_{\delta}} \mathbb{C} t_{\leqslant \Sigma} \subsetneq Z(H_0)_{\delta}$. Let h be an element in $Z(H_0)_{\delta} - \bigoplus_{\Sigma \in W_{\delta,\max}/\approx_{\delta}} \mathbb{C} t_{\leqslant \Sigma}$ and $\max_{w \in \text{supp}(h)} \ell(w) \leqslant \max_{w \in \text{supp}(h')} \ell(w)$ for any $h' \in Z(H_0)_{\delta} - \bigoplus_{\Sigma \in W_{\delta,\max}/\approx_{\delta}} \mathbb{C} t_{\leqslant \Sigma}$.

By (a) and (b), supp $(h)_{\max}$ is a union of Σ with $\Sigma \in W_{\delta,\max}/\approx_{\delta}$. By (a), if $\Sigma \subseteq \text{supp}(h)_{\max}$, then $a_w = a_{w'}$ for any $w, w' \in \Sigma$. We set $a_{\Sigma} = a_w$ for any $w \in \Sigma$. Set $h' = h - \sum_{\Sigma \subseteq \text{supp}(h)_{\max}} a_{\Sigma} t_{\leqslant \Sigma}$. Then $h' \in Z(H_0)_{\delta} - \bigoplus_{\Sigma \in W_{\delta,\max}/\approx_{\delta}} \mathbb{C} t_{\leqslant \Sigma}$. But $\max_{w \in \text{supp}(h')} \ell(w) < \max_{w \in \text{supp}(h)} \ell(w)$. That is a contradiction.

4.2. In fact, Theorem 4.4 also holds for the 0-Hecke algebras associated to any affine Weyl group and the proof is similar (the only difference is that one use [14, Main Theorem 1.1] instead of Theorem 1.4).

On the other hand, there are other explicit descriptions of the centers of finite and affine Hecke algebras.

- Geck and Rouquier [6, Theorem 5.2] gave a basis of the centers of finite Hecke algebras with parameter $q \neq 0$.
- Bernstein, and Lusztig [11, Proposition 3.11] gave a basis of the centers of affine Hecke algebras with parameter $q \neq 0$.
- Vignéras [15, Theorem 1.2] gave a basis of the centers of affine 0-Hecke algebras and pro-p Hecke algebras.

It is interesting to compare Theorem 4.4 (for finite and affine 0-Hecke algebras) with the above results.

5. Cocenters of 0-Hecke algebras

5.1. For any $\Sigma \in W_{\delta,\min}/\approx_{\delta}$, we denote by T_{Σ} the image of T_w in $\overline{\mathbb{H}}_{\delta}$ for any $w \in \Sigma$. By Proposition 2.1, the element T_{Σ} is well-defined. Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.3, we have

Proposition 5.1. The set $\{T_{\Sigma}\}_{{\Sigma}\in W_{\delta,\min}/{\approx_{\delta}}}$ spans $\overline{\mathbb{H}}_{\delta}$.

5.2. Via the natural bijection $f: \Gamma_{\delta} \to W_{\delta,\min}/\approx_{\delta}$ in Corollary 3.2, we may write $T_{(J,C)}$ for $T_{f(J,C)}$. We also write $t_{(J,C)} = t_{f(J,C)}$ for $T_{(J,C)} \otimes 1 \in \overline{H_{0,\delta}} = \overline{\mathbb{H}_{\delta}} \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{C}_{0}$.

It is worth mentioning that $\overline{\mathbb{H}}_{\delta}$ is not a free module over Λ by Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 5.5 we will prove later. This is because $\dim \overline{H_{q,\delta}} = \sharp cl(W)_{\delta}$ for any $q \neq 0$ and $\dim \overline{H_{0,\delta}} = \sharp W_{\delta,\min}/\approx_{\delta}$. These numbers do not match in general (see Example 3.4).

5.3. Now we come to the cocenter of 0-Hecke algebras.

We first recall the Demazure product.

By [8], for any $x, y \in W$, the set $\{uv; u \leqslant x, v \leqslant y\}$ contains a unique maximal element. We denote this element by x * y and call it the *Demazure product* of x and y. It is easy to see that $\text{supp}(x * y) = \text{supp}(x) \cup \text{supp}(y)$. The following result is proved in [8, Lemma 1].

Lemma 5.2. Let $x, y \in W$. Then

$$t_x t_y = (-1)^{\ell(x) + \ell(y) - \ell(x*y)} t_{x*y}.$$

Lemma 5.3. For any $J = \delta(J) \subseteq S$, set $H_0^{\operatorname{supp}_{\delta} = J} = \bigoplus_{\operatorname{supp}_{\delta}(w) = J} \mathbb{C}t_w$. Then

$$[H_0, H_0]_{\delta} = \bigoplus_{J=\delta(J)\subseteq S} \left(H_0^{\operatorname{supp}_{\delta}=J} \cap [H_0, H_0]_{\delta} \right).$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.2, for any $x, y \in W$,

$$\begin{split} t_x t_y &= (-1)^{\ell(x) + \ell(y) - \ell(x*y)} t_{x*y}, \\ t_y t_{\delta(x)} &= (-1)^{\ell(x) + \ell(y) - \ell(y*(\delta(x)))} t_{y*\delta(x)}. \end{split}$$

Moreover, $\operatorname{supp}_{\delta}(x*y) = \operatorname{supp}_{\delta}(x) \cup \operatorname{supp}_{\delta}(y) = \operatorname{supp}_{\delta}(y*(\delta(x)))$. Thus $t_x t_y, t_y t_{\delta(x)} \in H_0^{\operatorname{supp}_{\delta} = \operatorname{supp}_{\delta}(x*y)}$ and $t_x t_y - t_y t_{\delta(x)} \in H_0^{\operatorname{supp}_{\delta} = \operatorname{supp}_{\delta}(x*y)}$.

Another result we need here is that the elliptic conjugacy classes never "fuse".

Theorem 5.4. ([5, Theorem 3.2.11] and [1, Theorem 5.2.2]) 1

Let $J = \delta(J) \subseteq S$. Let C, C' be two distinct elliptic δ -conjugacy classes of W_J . Then C and C' are not δ -conjugate in W.

Now we come to the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 5.5. The elements $\{t_{(J,C)}\}_{(J,C)\in\Gamma_{\delta}}$ form a basis of $\overline{H_{0,\delta}}$.

Proof. Suppose that $\sum_{(J,C)\in\Gamma_{\delta}} a_{(J,C)}t_{(J,C)} = 0$ in $\overline{H_{0,\delta}}$ for some $a_{(J,C)}\in\mathbb{C}$. Then by Lemma 5.3, for any $J=\delta(J)\subseteq S$,

$$\sum_{C \in cl(W_J)_{\delta} \text{ is elliptic}} a_{(J,C)} t_{(J,C)} = 0.$$

Fix $J = \delta(J) \subseteq S$. We show that

(a) The set $\{T_{(J,C)}\}_{C \in cl(W_J)_{\delta} \text{ is } elliptic}$ is a linearly independent set in $\overline{\mathbb{H}}_{\delta}$.

Suppose that

$$\sum_{C \in cl(W_J)_{\delta} \text{ is } elliptic} b_C T_{(J,C)} = 0 \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}_{\delta}$$

for some $b_C \in \Lambda$. Then

$$\sum_{C \in cl(W_J)_{\delta} \text{ is } elliptic} b_C \mid_{\mathbf{q}=q} T_{(J,C)} = 0 \in \overline{H_{q,\delta}}$$

for any $q \neq 0$. By Theorem 2.3, the set $\{T_{(J,C),q}\}_{C \in cl(W_J)_{\delta} \text{ is elliptic}}$ is a linearly independent set in $\overline{H_{q,\delta}}$ for any $q \neq 0$. Hence $b_C \mid_{\mathbf{q}=q} = 0$ for any $q \neq 0$. Thus $b_C = 0$.

(a) is proved.

In other words, $\sum_{C \in cl(W_J)_{\delta} \text{ is } elliptic} \Lambda T_{(J,C)}$ is a free submodule of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ with basis $T_{(J,C)}$. Thus $\sum_{C \in cl(W_J)_{\delta} \text{ is } elliptic} \mathbb{C} t_{(J,C)}$ is a free submodule of $\overline{H_{0,\delta}}$ with basis $t_{(J,C)}$. Therefore $a_{J,C} = 0$.

5.4. Now we relate the cocenter of H_0 to the representations of H_0 . For any $J \subseteq S$, let λ_J be the one-dimensional representation of H_0 defined by

$$\lambda_J(t_s) = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } s \in J; \\ 0, & \text{if } s \notin J. \end{cases}$$

¹The proof in [5] and [1] are based on a characterization of elliptic conjugacy classes using characteristic polynomials [5, Theorem 3.2.7 (P3)] and [7, Theorem 7.5 (P3)], which is proved via a case-by-case analysis. It is interesting to find a case-free proof of these results.

By [13], the set $\{\lambda_J\}_{J\subseteq S}$ is the set of all the irreducible representations of H_0 .

Let $R(H_0)$ be the Grothendieck group of finite dimensional representations of H_0 . Then $R(H_0)$ is a free group with basis $\{\lambda_J\}_{J\subseteq S}$. Consider the trace map

$$Tr: \overline{H_0} \to R(H_0)^*, \qquad h \mapsto (V \mapsto tr(h, V)).$$

It is easy to see that for any $(J, C) \in \Gamma$ and $K \subseteq S$,

$$tr(t_{J,C}, \lambda_K) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\ell(C)}, & \text{if } J \subseteq K; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here $\ell(C)$ is the length of any minimal length element in C.

By [10, Proposition 6.10], for any $J \subseteq S$ and any two elliptic conjugacy classes C and C' of W_J , $\ell(C) \equiv \ell(C') \mod 2$. Therefore,

Proposition 5.6. The trace map $Tr: \overline{H_0} \to R(H_0)^*$ is surjective and the kernel equals $\bigoplus_{J\subseteq S,C,C'\in cl(W_J) \text{ are elliptic}} \mathbb{C}\{t_{(J,C)}-t_{(J,C')}\}.$

6. A PARTIAL ORDER ON
$$W_{\delta,\min}/\approx_{\delta}$$

6.1. Let $w \in W$ and $\Sigma \in W_{\delta,\min}/\approx_{\delta}$, we write $\Sigma \leq w$ if there exists $w' \in \Sigma$ with $w' \leq w$. For $w \in W$ and $\emptyset \in cl(W)_{\delta}$, we define $\emptyset \leq w$ in the same way.

We define a partial order on $W_{\delta,\min}/\approx_{\delta}$ as follows.

For $\Sigma, \Sigma' \in W_{\delta,\min}/\approx_{\delta}$, we write $\Sigma' \preceq \Sigma$ if $\Sigma' \preceq w$ for some $w \in \Sigma$. By [7, Corollary 4.6], $\Sigma' \preceq \Sigma$ if and only if $\Sigma' \preceq w$ for any $w \in \Sigma$. In particular, \preceq is transitive. This defines a partial order on $W_{\delta,\min}/\approx_{\delta}$.

We define a partial order on $cl(W)_{\delta}$ in a similar way.

Proposition 6.1. Let $\mathfrak{O}, \mathfrak{O}' \in cl(W)_{\delta}$. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) For any $w \in \mathcal{O}_{\min}$, there exists $w' \in \mathcal{O}'_{\min}$ such that $w' \leqslant w$.
- (2) There exists $w \in \mathcal{O}_{\min}$ and $w' \in \mathcal{O}'_{\min}$ such that $w' \leq w$.

Remark. We write $O' \leq O$ if the conditions above are satisfied. Then the map $W_{\delta,\min}/\approx_{\delta} \to cl(W)_{\delta}$ is compatible with the partial orders \leq .

Proof. Let $w, w_1 \in \mathcal{O}_{\min}$ and $w' \in \mathcal{O}'_{\min}$ with $w' \leqslant w$. Let $J = \operatorname{supp}_{\delta}(w)$, $J_1 = \operatorname{supp}_{\delta}(w_1)$ and $J' = \operatorname{supp}_{\delta}(w')$. Let $C \in cl(W_J)_{\delta}$ and $C_1 \in cl(W_{J_1})_{\delta}$ with $w \in C$ and $w'_1 \in C_1$. By §3.2, there exists $x \in W^{\delta}$ and the conjugation of x sends J to J_1 and sends C to C_1 . Since $w' \leqslant w$, $J' \subseteq J$. As the conjugation by x sends simple reflections in J to simple reflections in J_1 , we have $xw'x^{-1} \leqslant xwx^{-1}$. Moreover, $xwx^{-1} \in C_1$ is a minimal length element. By Theorem 1.2, $xwx^{-1} \approx_{\delta} w'$. By [7, Lemma 4.4], there exists $w'_1 \in \mathcal{O}'_{\min}$ with $w'_1 \leqslant w_1$.

Proposition 6.2. Let $w \in W$. Then

(1) The set $\{\Sigma \in W_{\delta,\min}/\approx_{\delta}; \Sigma \leq w\}$ contains a unique maximal element Σ_w .

(2) The image of t_w in $\overline{H_{0,\delta}}$ equals $(-1)^{\ell(w)-\ell(\Sigma_w)}t_{\Sigma_w}$.

Remark. By Theorem 5.5, part (2) of the Proposition gives another characterization of Σ_w .

Proof. We argue by induction on $\ell(w)$.

If $w \in W_{\delta,\min}$, we denote by Σ_w the \approx_{δ} -equivalence class that contains w. By definition, for any $\Sigma \in W_{\delta,\min}/\approx_{\delta}$ with $\Sigma \leq w$, $\Sigma \leq \Sigma_w$. Also by definition, the image of t_w in $\overline{H_{0,\delta}}$ is t_{Σ_w} .

Now suppose that $w \in W_{\delta,\min}$. By Theorem 1.2 (1), there exists $w' \in W$ and $s \in S$ such that $w \approx w'$ and $\ell(sw'\delta(s)) < \ell(w')$. Let $\Sigma \in W_{\delta,\min}/\approx_{\delta}$ with $\Sigma \leq w$. By [7,Lemma4.4], $\Sigma \leq w'$. Inother words, there exists $x \in \Sigma$ with $x \leq w'$.

Now we prove that

(a) $\Sigma \leq \Sigma_{sw'}$.

If x < sx, then by Lemma 4.1, $x \leq sw'$ and $\Sigma \leq sw'$.

If sx < x, then $\ell(sx\delta(s)) \le \ell(sx) + 1 = \ell(x)$. Hence $sx\delta(s) \in \Sigma$. By Lemma 4.1, $sx \le sw'$. Since $sw'\delta(s) < sw'$, by Lemma 4.1 again, we have $sx\delta(s) \le sw'$. Thus $\Sigma \le sw'$.

Since $\ell(sw') < \ell(w)$, by inductive hypothesis, $\Sigma_{sw'}$ is defined and $\Sigma \leq \Sigma_{sw'}$.

(a) is proved.

Since $\Sigma_{sw'} \leq sw'$, $\Sigma_{sw'} \leq w'$. By [7, Lemma 4.4], $\Sigma_{sw'} \leq w$. Thus $\Sigma_{sw'}$ is the unique maximal element in $\{\Sigma \in W_{\delta,\min}/\approx_{\delta}; \Sigma \leq w\}$.

We also have

$$t_w \equiv t_{w'} \equiv t_s t_{sw'} = t_{sw'} t_{\delta(s)} = -t_{sw'} \mod [H_0, H_0]_{\delta}.$$

By inductive hypothesis, the image of $t_{sw'}$ in $\overline{H_{0,\delta}}$ is $(-1)^{\ell(sw')-\ell(\Sigma_{sw'})}t_{\Sigma_{sw'}}$. Hence the image of t_w in $\overline{H_{0,\delta}}$ is $(-1)^{\ell(w)-\ell(\Sigma_{sw'})}t_{\Sigma_{sw'}}$.

6.2. For any $w \in W$, we denote by \mathcal{O}_w the image of Σ_w under the map $W_{\delta,\min}/\approx_{\delta} \to cl(W)_{\delta}$. Then \mathcal{O}_w is the maximal element in $\{\mathcal{O} \in cl(W)_{\delta}; \mathcal{O} \leq w\}$.

Now we discuss some application to class polynomials.

Let $w \in W$. By Proposition 2.4, for any $q \neq 0$,

$$T_{w,q} = \sum_{\mathfrak{O} \in cl(W)_{\delta}} f_{w,\mathfrak{O}} T_{\mathfrak{O},q} \in \overline{H_{q,\delta}}.$$

By the same argument as in Proposition 6.2, $f_{w,0} = 0$ unless $0 \leq 0_w$. Moreover, by Proposition 5.1, there exists $a_{w,\Sigma} \in \Lambda$ such that

$$T_w = \sum_{\Sigma \in W_{\delta,\min}/\approx_{\delta}} a_{w,\Sigma} T_{\Sigma} \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}_{\delta}.$$

Let $p: W_{\delta,\min}/\approx_{\delta} \to cl(W)_{\delta}$ be the natural map. Then for any $q \neq 0$,

$$T_{w,q} = \sum_{\Sigma \in W_{\delta,\min}/\approx_{\delta}} a_{w,\Sigma} \mid_{\mathbf{q}=q} T_{p(\Sigma),q} \in \overline{H_{q,\delta}}.$$

Therefore for any $0 \in cl(W)_{\delta}$, $\sum_{p(\Sigma)=0} a_{w,\Sigma} = f_{w,0}$. By Proposition 6.2,

$$a_{w,\Sigma} \in \begin{cases} (-1)^{\ell(w)-\ell(\Sigma_w)} + \mathbf{q}\Lambda, & \text{if } \Sigma = \Sigma_w; \\ \mathbf{q}\Lambda, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$f_{w,0} \in \begin{cases} (-1)^{\ell(w)-\ell(\Sigma_w)} + q\mathbb{Z}[q], & \text{if } \Sigma_w \subseteq 0; \\ q\mathbb{Z}[q], & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank D. Ciubotaru, G. Lusztig and S. Nie for helpful discussions. We thank the referee for his/her valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] D. Ciubotaru and X. He, The cocenter of graded affine Hecke algebra and the density theorem, arXiv:1208.0914.
- [2] R. W. Carter and G. Lusztig, Modular representations of finite groups of Lie type, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 32 (1976), 347–385.
- [3] M. Geck, S. Kim, and G. Pfeiffer, Minimal length elements in twisted conjugacy classes of finite Coxeter groups, J. Algebra 229 (2000), no. 2, 570–600.
- [4] M. Geck and G. Pfeiffer, On the irreducible characters of Hecke algebras, Adv. Math. 102 (1993), no. 1, 79–94.
- [5] M. Geck and G. Pfeiffer, Characters of finite Coxeter groups and Iwahori-Hecke algebras, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, vol. 21, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.
- [6] M. Geck and R. Rouquier, Centers and simple modules for Iwahori-Hecke algebras, Finite reductive groups (Luminy, 1994), Progr. Math., 141, pp. 251272, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1997.
- [7] X. He, Minimal length elements in some double cosets of Coxeter groups, Adv. Math. 215 (2007), no. 2, 469–503.
- [8] X. He, A subalgebra of 0-Hecke algebra, J. Algebra 322 (2009), 4030–4039.
- [9] X. He and S. Nie, Minimal length elements of finite Coxeter group, Duke Math. J. 161 (2012), 2945–2967.
- [10] G. Lusztig, Characters of reductive groups over a finite field, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 107, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1984.
- [11] G. Lusztig, Affine Hecke algebras and their graded version, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 2 (1989), 599–635.
- [12] G. Lusztig, *Hecke algebras with unequal parameters*, CRM Monograph Series, vol. 18, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [13] P. N. Norton, 0-Hecke algebras, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 27 (1979), no. 3, 337–357.
- [14] S. Rostami, Conjugacy classes of non-translations in affine Weyl groups and applications to Hecke algebras, arXiv:1306.5255, to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [15] M.-F. Vignéras, The pro-p-Iwahori-Hecke algebra of a reductive p-adic group, II, Münster J. Math. 7 (2014), 363–379.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, COLLEGE PARK, MD 20742, USA AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HKUST, HONG KONG $E\text{-}mail\ address$: xuhuahe@math.umd.edu